Approved For Release 2002/04/06/12-RDP79R00890A000900070013-7

NSC BRIEFING

ESTIMATE OF THE FORLD SITUATION

March 20, 1958

25X1

I. CHANGES IN THE STRATEGIC SITUATION

- A. The prospective Soviet achievement of an ICBM capability has brought into the minds of statesmen and peoples, for the first time, a general sense of US vulnerability to Soviet attack.
- B. It is now generally believed that the USSR--within the next year or two, rather than at some time in the distant future--will be able to inflict instant and crippling damage on North America. Foreign peoples and statesmen now fear that the US will become increasingly inhibited from threatening nuclear retaliation in order to deter Seviet pressure or Seviet action in matters of vital concern to them, and they fear that, even if the US did so, the Seviets would not necessarily believe it.
- C. Many leaders of the free world fear, therefore, that
 US nuclear power will not be effective in deterring minor
 thrusts and local aggressions. They believe that it is
 necessary to develop the means to deal with these minor
 thrusts by means short of a full counter-offensive.

Approved For Release 2002/08/06 : CIA-RDP79R00890A000900070013-7

II. SOVIET PROGRESS AND POLICY

- A. The Seviet leaders probably leek upon their present position as quite faverable. They are developing a deterrent power as effective as that which the WS has possessed. They are preducing more scientists than we are. Although their gress national product is only two-fifths as large as ours and per capita consumption only one-fifth as large as ours, the USSR allocates its resources in such a way that—in terms of resources available for national security policy—its aggregate effort already approaches that of the US. That is to say, the total amountsit spends, when converted into dellar values, for research, defense, industrial investment, and foreign aid is almost as much as we spend for all these things put together.
- B. The Soviet leaders prebably feel that they are in a strenger position than they were in the past to engage in threats or in negetiations, or alternatively to centinue to win position and influence by political and economic methods. We do not believe they have decided to fellow any one line to the exclusion of others.

C. They probably also believe that they can be semewhat belder than the past. They probably foresee that crises will develop from time to time as a consequence of Western resistance to the expansion of Seviet influence. If this occurs, we expect the Seviets to be firm, and even occasionally bellicose.

III. THE PSYCHOLOGICAL IMPACT OF US AND SOVIET POLICIES

- A. The Communist states are gaining ground in world opinion as advocates of peace and social progress, and the US is increasingly accused of indifference to these causes. The Communists have gained more credit for advancing the causes of disarmament and nationalism. Their well-advertised economic and scientific progress has appeared to many peoplesses especially in the underdeveloped areas—as a demonstration of the effectiveness of Communist methods.
- B. On the other hand, our shortcomings—because of our democratic society—are more obvious to the world.

 For example, we cannot with the same ease as our competitors hide our race problems or adjust our trade policies to our foreign policy objectives.

 Unfortunately, some of our most cherished traditions—our respect for the rule of law, our desire not to offend our old friends, and oven our high regard for human life—are often regarded as unrealistic in

these countries where the struggle for existence and the social tradition do not encourage such attitudes. Even those familiar with the Western tradition frequently resent the high level of American consumption and deplore what they regard as a US preoccupation with military security.

C. Thus, despite the intellectual and realistic justification of US policy, the simple formulas preposed by the USSR--European zenal demilitarization, a ban on nuclear tests and on the use of nuclear weapons, anticolenialism, peace, and se en--eften have a greater appeal even when they are little better than slegans.

IV. THE PROBLEM OF THE UNDERDEVELOPED AREAS

- A. Most of these areas are undergoing social and economic revolutions. Many are politically unstable. All have grave economic problems. Population growth is explosive; in some cases it is growing faster than the economies are growing.
- B. Mest of the leaders in the newer countries look upon the US and USSR as engaged in a power struggle that is of little direct concern to them. They think that neutralism is the safest and most profitable course for them to follow. The Soviets are playing to this belief by effering economic aid without apparent strings attached. Many of these peoples think the

Approved For Release 2002/08/06: CIA-RDP79R00890A000900070013-7

US is epposed to neutralism and is trying to recruit them into its camp.

C. Many of the newer states are becoming increasingly susceptible to Sine-Seviet influence. It appears to us that the choice which will increasingly confront underdeveloped states in Asia and Africa will not be between East and West, but between neutralism and pre-Communism.

V. THE REDUCED FORCE OF THE POSTULATES UNDERLYING THE US ALLIANCES

- A. The fundamental desirability of maintaining alliances with the US is still generally accepted within allied countries, but the force and strength of this belief has been diminished.
- B. The possibility of Soviet military aggression is still recognized, but it seems -- to the MATO powers, for example -- to be much less imminent than when the alliance was formed.
- C. Additionally, there is declining confidence in the deterrent effect of US military power, which semewhat reduces the value of the US as an ally.
- D. Finally, the USSR is encouraging doubt about the necessity for maintaining two mutually-hostile power blocs through its barrage of proposals for summit talks, nuclear-free zones, etc. These various proposals have

Approved For Release 2002/08/06 : CIA-RDP79R00890A000900070013-7

Struck a responsive cherd, even in mederate Western
European opinion. Europeans feel that their only
hope for survival lies in fully and patently exploring
every possible epportunity for living at peace with
the USSR.

- alliance system have been stimulated by the recent changes in the world situation. There is much soulsearching in Europe about the political and military adequacy of NATO. The major European powers have asserted a more independent position. Some of them will try to develop an independent nuclear deterrent to Seviet aggression.
- r. In general, the US will encounter increasing difficulties in retaining everseas bases on terms assuring their availability and effectiveness in case of need. In particular, the US will encounter new problems in its attempts to increase allied military strength through the establishment of missile bases or the further deployment of nuclear weapons everseas.

VI. THE IMPACT OF THE US RECESSION

A. Since the US accounts for about 40% of free world production, the economic prospects of other countries are greatly affected by the manner in which the US deals with its economic problems. If the US recession

Approved For Release 2002/08/06 . CA RDP79R00890A000900070013-7

- 6 -

is prelenged, some other countries will face serious economic and political problems, and they will place much of the blame upon the US.

B. Se far, they have been more worried about pessible changes in US trade policy than about the new anticipated degree of recession itself.

THE UNLIKELIHOOD OF GENERAL WAR, BUT THE DIFFICULTY OF VII. MERPING LIMITED WARS LIMITED

- The Seviets are likely to retain a healthy respect for US military power, and a great uncertainty about the chances of victory, even after they acquire a substantial ICBM capability. We do not believe they will deliberately initiate a general war or embark upen a course which they believe involves serious risk of general war.
 - Mevertheless, there will be a constant jockeying for position between the US and the USSR. This will create the difficult problem of calculating the risks involved in actions -- or inaction -- in particular situations. de not see any easy way to determine whether local wars will break out and whether, if they do, they can be kept limited. We are not persuaded that local wars will be kept limited simply because it would be sensible not to allow them to expand. The rapidity with which events eften eccur, the pressures on chiefs of government, and the great importance of time, can

Approved For Release 2002/08/Q6: CIA RDP79R00890A000900070013-7

Approved For Release 2002/08/05 CA PDP79R00890A000900070013-7

confuse and distort the intentions of the parties involved.

VIII. THE CONTINUED CHANGE FOR A FAVORABLE EVOLUTION IN THE COMMUNIST WORLD

A. We believe the trend teward greater flexibility within the blec relations and toward greater recognition of individual differences among blec members will continue. Within the USSR, we believe that significant changes in Seviet seciety are occurring. We believe that the Seviets can generally control the pace of change, and a significant weakening does not appear likely over the short term. We cannot, however, exclude the possibility that major changes in the Seviet leader—ship, or popular uprisings in Eastern Europe, will occur.

IX. THE OUTLOOK FOR A PROLONGED COLD WAR

- A. The USSR will wage a vigorous political and economic effensive against the US on a world-wide basis. It will exploit world trouble spots, and especially the nationalistic and revolutionary emotions of the emerging peoples.
- B. We think the USSR will have some success in these efforts and that this will generate increasing nervousness in the West over real or imagined lesses of position.

C. However, we do not think this trend is irreversible.

Even though the Sine-Seviet bloc will become an increasingly fermidable opponent, its leaders must cope with major problems in exploiting their strength. We believe the course of the East-West contest will depend more than anything else on the manner in which the Fest mobilizes its political, economic, and military resources.